

# On solvability of diophantine equations in $p$ -adic numbers

Yuri Nesterenko  
*Moscow State University*

*Arithmetic as Geometry: Parshin Fest  
Moscow, Russia, November 26–29, 2012*

$\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $|\cdot|_p$ ,  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  — the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$p$ -adic numbers were first described by Kurt Hensel in 1897.

$\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $| \cdot |_p$ ,  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  — the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  
 $p$ -adic numbers were first described by Kurt Hensel in 1897.

- $F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  
 $F_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , solubility in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Parameters:  $p$ ,  $n$ ,  $d = \max_i \deg F_i$ ,  $h = \max_i h(F_i)$ ,  $m$ .

$\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $| \cdot |_p$ ,  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  — the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  
 $p$ -adic numbers were first described by Kurt Hensel in 1897.

- $F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  
 $F_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , solubility in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Parameters:  $p$ ,  $n$ ,  $d = \max_i \deg F_i$ ,  $h = \max_i h(F_i)$ ,  $m$ .

• **K. Hensel:** Let  $F = F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a homogeneous polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be a vector such that

$$F(\bar{a}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad \exists i \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(\bar{a}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Then the equation  $F(\bar{x}) = 0$  has a nontrivial solution in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

$$p \gg n, d$$

- **C. Chevalley-E. Warning, 1936:** *If  $n > d$ , where  $d$  is the total degree of  $F$ , and the polynomial has no constant term, then the equation  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  has a nontrivial solution in  $GF(p)$ .*

$$p \gg n, d$$

- **C. Chevalley-E. Warning, 1936:** *If  $n > d$ , where  $d$  is the total degree of  $F$ , and the polynomial has no constant term, then the equation  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  has a nontrivial solution in  $GF(p)$ .*

- The consequence of **A. Weil's** theorem about number of points on algebraic curves over finite fields,

S.Lang and A.Weil, L.B.Nisnevich, 1954:

*Let  $F = F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an absolutely irreducible polynomial. Then  $N(F, p)$  the number of solutions of*

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

*satisfies*

$$|N(F, p) - p^{n-1}| < C(F)p^{n-3/2},$$

*where the positive constant  $C(F)$  depends only on the polynomial.*

$$n \gg d$$

- $d = 2$ , **A. Meyer, 1884**: An indefinite quadratic form in five or more variables over the field of rational numbers nontrivially represents zero in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$n \gg d$$

- **$d = 2$ , A. Meyer, 1884:** An indefinite quadratic form in five or more variables over the field of rational numbers nontrivially represents zero in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**H. Hasse, 1923:** Every quadratic form in five or more variables with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  nontrivially represents zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for all  $p$ .

$$n \gg d$$

- **$d = 2$ , A. Meyer, 1884:** An indefinite quadratic form in five or more variables over the field of rational numbers nontrivially represents zero in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**H. Hasse, 1923:** Every quadratic form in five or more variables with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  nontrivially represents zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for all  $p$ .

**Example:**  $Q = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - p(x_3^2 + x_4^2)$ ,  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  does not represent zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

$$n \gg d$$

- $d = 2$ , **A. Meyer, 1884**: An indefinite quadratic form in five or more variables over the field of rational numbers nontrivially represents zero in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**H. Hasse, 1923**: Every quadratic form in five or more variables with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  nontrivially represents zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for all  $p$ .

**Example**:  $Q = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - p(x_3^2 + x_4^2)$ ,  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  does not represent zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

- $d = 3$ , **Demianov, 1951**, ( $p \neq 3$ ), **D.J. Lewis, 1952**: Every cubic homogeneous polynomial equation in  $n \geq 10$  variables with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has a non-trivial zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

- **H. Davenport, D.J. Lewis, 1963:** An equation

$$F = c_1 x_1^d + \dots + c_n x_n^d = 0, \quad n > d^2, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

has a non-trivial zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

- **H. Davenport, D.J. Lewis, 1963:** An equation

$$F = c_1 x_1^d + \dots + c_n x_n^d = 0, \quad n > d^2, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

has a non-trivial zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Simple case ( $p \nmid d$ ):**

- By an obvious substitution of the form  $x'_i = p^{\lambda_i} x_i$  we can ensure that  $\nu_p(c_i) < d$ .

- **H. Davenport, D.J. Lewis, 1963:** An equation

$$F = c_1 x_1^d + \dots + c_n x_n^d = 0, \quad n > d^2, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

has a non-trivial zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Simple case ( $p \nmid d$ ):**

- By an obvious substitution of the form  $x'_i = p^{\lambda_i} x_i$  we can ensure that  $\nu_p(c_j) < d$ . Then  $F = G_0 + pG_1 + \dots + p^{d-1}G_{d-1}$ , where  $G_k$  are diagonal forms of degree  $d$  with coefficients not divisible by  $p$  and with own set of variables.

- **H. Davenport, D.J. Lewis, 1963:** An equation

$$F = c_1x_1^d + \dots + c_nx_n^d = 0, \quad n > d^2, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

has a non-trivial zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Simple case ( $p \nmid d$ ):**

- By an obvious substitution of the form  $x'_i = p^{\lambda_i}x_i$  we can ensure that  $\nu_p(c_j) < d$ . Then  $F = G_0 + pG_1 + \dots + p^{d-1}G_{d-1}$ , where  $G_k$  are diagonal forms of degree  $d$  with coefficients not divisible by  $p$  and with own set of variables.
- If  $G_0$  depends on more than  $d$  variables, one can apply Chevalley's lemma to  $G_0$  and Hensel's lemma to the form  $F$ .

- **H. Davenport, D.J. Lewis, 1963:** An equation

$$F = c_1 x_1^d + \dots + c_n x_n^d = 0, \quad n > d^2, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

has a non-trivial zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Simple case ( $p \nmid d$ ):**

- By an obvious substitution of the form  $x'_i = p^{\lambda_i} x_i$  we can ensure that  $\nu_p(c_j) < d$ . Then  $F = G_0 + pG_1 + \dots + p^{d-1}G_{d-1}$ , where  $G_k$  are diagonal forms of degree  $d$  with coefficients not divisible by  $p$  and with own set of variables.
- If  $G_0$  depends on more than  $d$  variables, one can apply Chevalley's lemma to  $G_0$  and Hensel's lemma to the form  $F$ .
- In general case one can effect a cyclic permutation of  $G_0, \dots, G_{d-1}$  by putting  $x_i = p\tilde{x}_i$  for all the variables in  $G_0$  and then dividing throughout by  $p$ . Since the total number of variables is  $n > d^2$ , we can choose a cyclic permutation which will ensure that the number of terms in  $G_0$  became larger than  $d$ .

$n \gg d$

- **R. Brauer, 1945:** There exists a positive function  $\psi(d)$  such that any system

$$F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \quad F_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

with  $n > \psi(d)$  is soluble in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

$$n \gg d$$

- **R. Brauer, 1945:** There exists a positive function  $\psi(d)$  such that any system

$$F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \quad F_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

with  $n > \psi(d)$  is soluble in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Best upper bounds for  $\psi(d)$  are

- **W. Schmidt, 1984:**  $\log \psi(d) = o(2^d d!)$
- **T. Wooley, 1998:**  $\log \psi(d) \leq 2^d \log d$ .

$$n \gg d$$

- **R. Brauer, 1945:** There exists a positive function  $\psi(d)$  such that any system

$$F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \quad F_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

with  $n > \psi(d)$  is soluble in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Best upper bounds for  $\psi(d)$  are

- **W. Schmidt, 1984:**  $\log \psi(d) = o(2^d d!)$
- **T. Wooley, 1998:**  $\log \psi(d) \leq 2^d \log d$ .
- **J. Ax, S. Kochen, 1965:** For every  $d$  there is a number  $p(d)$  such that every form with  $n > d^2$  variables and  $p > p(d)$  has a nontrivial  $p$ -adic zero.

$$n \gg d$$

- **Conjecture (attributed to E. Artin, 1933-1935):** A form  $F(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d$  should have a non-trivial  $p$ -adic zero as soon as  $n > d^2$ , i.e.  $\psi(d) = d^2$  independently on  $p$ .

$$n \gg d$$

- **Conjecture (attributed to E. Artin, 1933-1935):** A form  $F(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d$  should have a non-trivial  $p$ -adic zero as soon as  $n > d^2$ , i.e.  $\psi(d) = d^2$  independently on  $p$ .
- **Counter-examples:**  
G. Terjanian, 1966:  $p = 2, d = 4, n = 18$ .

$$n \gg d$$

- **Conjecture (attributed to E. Artin, 1933-1935):** A form  $F(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d$  should have a non-trivial  $p$ -adic zero as soon as  $n > d^2$ , i.e.  $\psi(d) = d^2$  independently on  $p$ .
- **Counter-examples:**
  - G. Terjanian, 1966:  $p = 2, d = 4, n = 18$ .
  - J. Browkin, 1966: For every prime  $p$  we have  $\psi(d) \geq d^{3-\varepsilon}$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist infinitely many forms  $F$  of degree  $d$  such that  $n > d^{3-\varepsilon}$  and  $F$  has only trivial zeros in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

$$n \gg d$$

- **Conjecture (attributed to E. Artin, 1933-1935):** A form  $F(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d$  should have a non-trivial  $p$ -adic zero as soon as  $n > d^2$ , i.e.  $\psi(d) = d^2$  independently on  $p$ .
- **Counter-examples:**
  - G. Terjanian, 1966:  $p = 2, d = 4, n = 18$ .
  - J. Browkin, 1966: For every prime  $p$  we have  $\psi(d) \geq d^{3-\varepsilon}$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist infinitely many forms  $F$  of degree  $d$  such that  $n > d^{3-\varepsilon}$  and  $F$  has only trivial zeros in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .
  - G. Arhipov, A. Karacuba, 1981:

$$\psi(d) > p^{\frac{d}{\log^2 d \log \log^3 d}}$$

for every  $p$ .

Improvements: G. Arhipov, A. Karacuba, 1982 (the best); Lewis and Montgomery (1983), D. Brownawell (1984).

**Main steps of the proof.**  $p$  is odd.

**Main steps of the proof.**  $p$  is odd.

**Construction** of a sequence of forms  $F_r$ , only trivially representing zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and such that

$$n_{r+1} > p^{n_r}, \quad d_{r+1} < cd_r n_r, \quad (c = 6p^2),$$

where  $n_r$  is the number of variables in  $F_r$ ,  $d_r = \deg F_r$ .

**Main steps of the proof.**  $p$  is odd.

**Construction** of a sequence of forms  $F_r$ , only trivially representing zero in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and such that

$$n_{r+1} > p^{n_r}, \quad d_{r+1} < cd_r n_r, \quad (c = 6p^2),$$

where  $n_r$  is the number of variables in  $F_r$ ,  $d_r = \deg F_r$ .

• Denote  $m = n_r$ . Let  $a$  be a natural number,  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $\deg g(x) < m$ ,

$$|g(u_j)| < p^{-(p-1)a}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where

$$u_j = (1+p)^{r_j}, \quad a \leq r_1 < \dots < r_m < \frac{p+1}{2}a = b.$$

Then  $|g(1)| < p^{-m}$ . (Interpolation)

- If integers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(p-1)r_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(p-1)a}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad \text{then } n > p^m.$$

$$p \nmid x_1 \cdots x_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_j^{p-1} \equiv (1+p)^{c_j} \pmod{p^{(p-1)a}}.$$

- If integers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(p-1)r_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(p-1)a}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad \text{then } n > p^m.$$

$$p \nmid x_1 \cdots x_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_j^{p-1} \equiv (1+p)^{c_j} \pmod{p^{(p-1)a}}.$$

$$f(t) = t^{c_1} + \dots + t^{c_n}, \quad \varphi(t) = (t-u_1) \cdots (t-u_m), \quad u_i = (1+p)^{r_i}$$

$$g(t) = f(t) - \varphi(t)h(t), \quad \deg g(t) < m,$$

- If integers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(p-1)r_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(p-1)a}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad \text{then } n > p^m.$$

$$p \nmid x_1 \cdots x_n \Rightarrow x_j^{p-1} \equiv (1+p)^{c_j} \pmod{p^{(p-1)a}}.$$

$$f(t) = t^{c_1} + \dots + t^{c_n}, \quad \varphi(t) = (t-u_1) \cdots (t-u_m), \quad u_i = (1+p)^{r_i}$$

$$g(t) = f(t) - \varphi(t)h(t), \quad \deg g(t) < m,$$

$$f(u_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n (1+p)^{r_i c_j} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(p-1)r_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(p-1)a}}$$

$$|n| = |f(1)| \leq \max(|g(1)|, |\varphi(1)|) \leq p^{-m}.$$

$$n \gg d$$

- $k = 1, \dots, m$

$$H_k(\bar{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(p-1)(a+k)} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(p-1)(b-k)}, \quad \deg H_k = (p-1)(a+b)$$

$$a \geq \frac{4m+2}{p-1} \Rightarrow H_k \text{ have no common factors.}$$

$$F_{r+1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F_r(H_1, \dots, H_m),$$

$$n_{r+1} = n > p^{n_r}, \quad d_{r+1} = d_r(p-1)(a+b).$$

$$a \sim \frac{4m+2}{p-1} \Rightarrow d_{r+1} \sim (2p+6)d_r n_r.$$

$$n \gg d$$

**Corrected Artin's conjecture (Arhipov, Karacuba, 1981):** A form  $F(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d$  should have a non-trivial  $p$ -adic zero as soon as  $n > d^2$  and  $p > d$ .

$$n \gg d$$

**Corrected Artin's conjecture (Arhipov, Karacuba, 1981):** A form  $F(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d$  should have a non-trivial  $p$ -adic zero as soon as  $n > d^2$  and  $p > d$ .

- **J. Ax, S. Kochen, 1965:** For every  $d$  there is a number  $p(d)$  such that every form with  $n > d^2$  variables and  $p > p(d)$  has a nontrivial  $p$ -adic zero.

# Algorithms

- **Birch, Swinnerton-Dyer, 1962**, computed (with computer) the rank of the Mordell group for many elliptic curves. In these computations they needed to decide if a given elliptic curve contains a  $p$ -adic point.

- **Birch, Swinnerton-Dyer, 1962**, computed (with computer) the rank of the Mordell group for many elliptic curves. In these computations they needed to decide if a given elliptic curve contains a  $p$ -adic point. An algorithm based on Hensel's lemma was used:

*For any polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and integer  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$|f(a)|_p < |f'(a)|_p^2$$

*there exists a  $p$ -adic zero  $\alpha$  of  $f(x)$  such that  $|\alpha - a|_p < 1$ .*

- **Birch, Swinnerton-Dyer, 1962**, computed (with computer) the rank of the Mordell group for many elliptic curves. In these computations they needed to decide if a given elliptic curve contains a  $p$ -adic point. An algorithm based on Hensel's lemma was used:

*For any polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and integer  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$|f(a)|_p < |f'(a)|_p^2$$

*there exists a  $p$ -adic zero  $\alpha$  of  $f(x)$  such that  $|\alpha - a|_p < 1$ .*

The set of integer  $a$  that should be checked is finite since  $|f(a)|_p$  and  $|f'(a)|_p$  can not be small simultaneously.

- **B.J. Birch, K. McCann, 1966:** Let be  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . One can compute an integer  $D_n(F)$  with following property. Suppose that  $|F(\bar{a})|_p < |D_n(F)|_p$  for some  $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , then there is a vector  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$  such that  $F(\bar{\alpha}) = 0$ ,  $|\bar{\alpha} - \bar{a}|_p < 1$ . Moreover

$$D_n(F) = O(e^{cd^{4^n} n! (d+h(F))}).$$

- **B.J. Birch, K. McCann, 1966:** Let be  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . One can compute an integer  $D_n(F)$  with following property. Suppose that  $|F(\bar{a})|_p < |D_n(F)|_p$  for some  $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , then there is a vector  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$  such that  $F(\bar{\alpha}) = 0$ ,  $|\bar{\alpha} - \bar{a}|_p < 1$ . Moreover

$$D_n(F) = O(e^{cd^{4^n} n! (d+h(F))}).$$

## Examples:

1.  $n = 1$ . Let be  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  an irreducible polynomial,  $|F(a)|_p < |R|_p^2$  then there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $F(\alpha) = 0$  and  $|\alpha - a|_p < 1$ .  $R = \text{Res}(F, F')$

$$2. n = 2. \quad F(x, y) = 0.$$

$$g_1(x) = \text{Res}_y(F(x, y), \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}), \quad g_2(y) = \text{Res}_x(F(x, y), \frac{\partial F}{\partial x})$$

$$|F(a_1, a_2)|_p < |g_1(a_1)|_p^2 \Rightarrow \exists \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad F(a_1, \alpha_2) = 0$$

$$|F(a_1, a_2)|_p < |g_2(a_2)|_p^2 \Rightarrow \exists \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad F(\alpha_1, a_2) = 0$$

$$2. n = 2. \quad F(x, y) = 0.$$

$$g_1(x) = \text{Res}_y(F(x, y), \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}), \quad g_2(y) = \text{Res}_x(F(x, y), \frac{\partial F}{\partial x})$$

$$|F(a_1, a_2)|_p < |g_1(a_1)|_p^2 \Rightarrow \exists \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad F(a_1, \alpha_2) = 0$$

$$|F(a_1, a_2)|_p < |g_2(a_2)|_p^2 \Rightarrow \exists \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad F(\alpha_1, a_2) = 0$$

In case

$$|g_1(a_1)|_p^2 \leq |F(a_1, a_2)|_p, \quad |g_2(a_2)|_p^2 \leq |F(a_1, a_2)|_p \\ \Rightarrow R = \text{Res}(F(x, y), g_1(x), g_2(y)).$$

Some special cases if  $g_1 \equiv 0$  or  $g_2 \equiv 0$ , or  $R \equiv 0$ .

- A. Chistov, M. Karpinski, 1997, : In the case of systems

$$0 < D_n(F) < 2^{d^{2^n(1+o(1))}} h(F)$$

- **A. Chistov, M. Karpinski, 1997**, : In the case of systems

$$0 < D_n(F) < 2^{d^{2n(1+o(1))}} h(F)$$

- **Hensel** :

$$|F(a)|_p < |F'(a)|_p^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad F(\alpha) = 0, \quad |\alpha - a|_p < 1$$

If  $F(x)$  be an irreducible polynomial then  $|F(x)|_p$  and  $|F'(x)|_p$  can not be small simultaneously at any point.

With this idea one can prove

$$|F(a)|_p < e^{-8d(d+h)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad F(\alpha) = 0, \quad |\alpha - a|_p < 1.$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$  be a primitive vector  
 $F_i(x_0, \dots, x_m)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be homogeneous polynomials,  
 $I = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_m]$ ,  $\dim I = r - 1$ . If

$$\ln |F_i(\bar{a})|_p \leq -c_1 \cdot d^{2r(m-r+1)-1}(d+h), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $d, h$  are real numbers such that  $\deg F_i \leq d$ ,  $h(F_i) \leq h$ , and  $c_1$  is a positive constant depending only on  $m$  and  $r$ , then there exists a vector  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{m+1}$  such that

$$F_i(\bar{\alpha}) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{\alpha} - \bar{a}|_p < 1.$$

## Corollary

Let  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$  be a primitive vector,  $F(x_0, \dots, x_m)$  be a homogeneous polynomial. If

$$\ln |F(\bar{a})| \leq -c_1 \cdot d^{2^m-1}(d+h),$$

where  $d, h$  are real numbers such that

$$\deg F \leq d, \quad h(F) \leq h,$$

and  $c_1$  is a positive constant depending only on  $m$ , then there exists a vector  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{m+1}$  such that

$$F(\bar{\alpha}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{\alpha} - \bar{a}|_p < 1.$$

$I \subset \mathbb{Q}[\bar{x}] = \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_m]$ , homogeneous ideal, associated prime  
 $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s$  ideals, unmixed ideals:  $\dim I = \dim \mathfrak{p}_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .  
uniqueness.

$$\dim I, \deg I, h(I), |I(\bar{\alpha})|, \quad \bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{m+1}.$$

$I \subset \mathbb{Q}[\bar{x}] = \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_m]$ , homogeneous ideal, associated prime  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s$  ideals, unmixed ideals:  $\dim I = \dim \mathfrak{p}_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .  
uniqueness.

$$\dim I, \deg I, h(I), |I(\bar{\alpha})|, \quad \bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{m+1}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $I \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_m]$  be homogeneous unmixed ideal,  $\dim I = r - 1 \geq 0$  and  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$  be such integer vector that

$$\ln |I(\bar{a})|_p \leq -c^{2r} \cdot (\deg I)^{2r-1} (h(I) + \deg I),$$

where  $c = c(m) > 0$  is a sufficiently large constant depending only on  $m$ . Then there exists a  $p$ -adic vector  $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{m+1}$  that is a zero of  $I$  and  $|\bar{\alpha} - \bar{a}|_p < 1$ .

Theorem 2  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 is proved by induction on  $\dim I$ . Assume that

$$\ln |I(\bar{a})|_p \leq -c^{4r} \cdot (\deg I)^{2^r-1} (h(I) + \deg I), \quad (1)$$

where  $c = c(m) > 0$  be a sufficiently large constant,  $\dim I = r - 1$ .

• Among  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  there exists a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_m]$ , such that

$$\ln |\mathfrak{p}(\bar{a})|_p \leq -c^{4r-1} \cdot (\deg \mathfrak{p})^{2^r-1} (h(\mathfrak{p}) + \deg \mathfrak{p}). \quad (2)$$

Let  $I$  be homogeneous unmixed ideal of the ring  $\mathbb{Q}[\bar{x}]$ ,  $\dim I \geq 0$ .  
 Let  $I = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_s$  be irreducible primary decomposition,  $\mathfrak{p}_j = \sqrt{I_j}$   
 be radicals and  $k_j$  be multiplicities of  $I_j$ . Let  $\bar{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}_p^{m+1}$ ,  $\bar{\omega} \neq 0$ .

Then

$$1) \sum_{j=1}^s k_j \deg \mathfrak{p}_j = \deg I ;$$

$$2) \sum_{j=1}^s k_j h(\mathfrak{p}_j) \leq h(I) + m^2 \deg I ;$$

$$3) \sum_{j=1}^s k_j \log | \mathfrak{p}_j(\bar{\omega}) |_\rho = \log | I(\bar{\omega}) |_\rho .$$

- There are polynomials  $Q_1, \dots, Q_t \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$\deg Q_j \leq r \deg \mathfrak{p}, \quad h(Q_j) \leq h(\mathfrak{p}) + m^2 \deg \mathfrak{p}. \quad (3)$$

Projective varieties of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\theta(\mathfrak{p}) = (Q_1, \dots, Q_t)$  coincide. The ideal  $\theta(\mathfrak{p})$  has unique isolated primary component, it equals to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

- There are polynomials  $Q_1, \dots, Q_t \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$\deg Q_j \leq r \deg \mathfrak{p}, \quad h(Q_j) \leq h(\mathfrak{p}) + m^2 \deg \mathfrak{p}. \quad (3)$$

Projective varieties of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\theta(\mathfrak{p}) = (Q_1, \dots, Q_t)$  coincide. The ideal  $\theta(\mathfrak{p})$  has unique isolated primary component, it equals to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

- Rank of the matrix

$$\left( \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq t, 0 \leq j \leq m}, \quad (4)$$

modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  equals  $m - r + 1$ .

- There are polynomials  $Q_1, \dots, Q_t \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$\deg Q_j \leq r \deg \mathfrak{p}, \quad h(Q_j) \leq h(\mathfrak{p}) + m^2 \deg \mathfrak{p}. \quad (3)$$

Projective varieties of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\theta(\mathfrak{p}) = (Q_1, \dots, Q_t)$  coincide. The ideal  $\theta(\mathfrak{p})$  has unique isolated primary component, it equals to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

- Rank of the matrix

$$\left( \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq t, 0 \leq j \leq m}, \quad (4)$$

modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  equals  $m - r + 1$ .

$\Delta(\bar{x})$  is a minor of the size  $m - r + 1$  that does not belong to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

In case

$$\ln |\Delta(\bar{a})| < -c^{4r-2} \cdot (\deg \mathfrak{p})^{2^r-1} (h(\mathfrak{p}) + \deg \mathfrak{p})$$

one can construct an unmixed ideal  $J \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_m]$ ,  
 $\dim J = r - 2$  such that

$$\deg J \leq m^2 \deg^2 \mathfrak{p}$$

$$h(J) \leq 7m^4 \deg \mathfrak{p} (h(\mathfrak{p}) + \deg \mathfrak{p}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln |J(\bar{a})| &\leq -c^{4r-3} \cdot (\deg \mathfrak{p})^{2^r-1} (h(\mathfrak{p}) + \deg \mathfrak{p}) \leq \\ &\leq -c^{4r-4} \cdot (\deg J)^{2^{r-1}-1} (h(J) + \deg J). \end{aligned}$$

and  $V(J) \subset V(\mathfrak{p})$ .

Induction assumption is applied to  $J$ .

- In case

$$\ln |\Delta(\bar{a})| \geq -c^{4r-2} \cdot (\deg \mathfrak{p})^{2r-1} (h(\mathfrak{p}) + \deg \mathfrak{p}).$$

one can use the Hensel lemma and to prove the existence of  $p$ -adic zero for  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

- In case

$$\ln |\Delta(\bar{a})| \geq -c^{4r-2} \cdot (\deg \mathfrak{p})^{2r-1} (h(\mathfrak{p}) + \deg \mathfrak{p}).$$

one can use the Hensel lemma and to prove the existence of  $p$ -adic zero for  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Conjecture:** Right hand side of

$$\ln |F_i(\bar{a})|_p \leq -c_1 \cdot d^{2m-1} (d + h), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

should be improved to

$$-c_1 \cdot d^m (d + h)$$